## Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric (Methodology, Linguistics)

**Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia**

Thesis Author: Zuzana Míková  
Title: Psychological Typology: English-Language Teachers  
Length: 69  
Text Length: 63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Introduction is well written, brief, interesting, and compelling. It motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the problem. It places the problem in context. It presents and overview of the thesis. | **Outstanding** | Very good  
Very good  
Acceptable  
Somewhat deficient  
Very deficient |                      |
| 2. Literature review is comprehensive and complete. It synthesizes a variety of sources and provides context for the research. It shows the author’s understanding of the most relevant literature on the subject matter. | **Outstanding** | Very good  
Very good  
Acceptable  
Somewhat deficient  
Very deficient |                      |
| 3. The methodology chapter provides clear and thorough description of the research methodology. It discusses why and what methods were chosen for research. The research methodology is appropriate for the identified research questions. | **Outstanding** | Very good  
Very good  
Acceptable  
Somewhat deficient  
Very deficient |                      |
| 4. The results/data are analyzed and interpreted effectively. The chapter ties the theory with the findings. It addresses the applications and implications of the research. It discusses strengths, weaknesses, and limitations of the research. | **Outstanding** | Very good  
Very good  
Acceptable  
Somewhat deficient  
Very deficient |                      |
| 5. The thesis shows critical and analytical thinking about the area of study and the author’s expertise in this area. | **Outstanding** | Very good  
Very good  
Acceptable  
Somewhat deficient  
Very deficient |                      |
6. The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somewhat deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very deficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Somewhat deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very deficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final Comments & Questions

This is an excellent thesis and I recommend the grade of 1 (výborně). The main and only shortcoming I felt while reading it was my own as I do not have enough expertise in the area to engage with the argument as fully as the student deserves. Meyer-Briggs is a complex instrument, and its results, as with all results of this kind, have to be treated with care and intelligence. As far as I can judge, these latter qualities are apparent of every page of the thesis. It is an ambitious project, executed well, and should encourage even the most experienced of teachers to re-assess themselves.
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