## **Graduate Thesis Assessment Rubric** Department of English, Faculty of Education, University of West Bohemia

Thesis Author: Pavel Šamšula

Title: Podpora mluvení v anglickém jazyce

Length: 68 pages

Text Length: 61 pages

4 μ Assessment Criteria Literature review is comprehensive and motivates the work and provides a clear statement of the problem. It Introduction is well written, brief, ties the theory with the findings. It interpreted effectively. The chapter The results/data are analyzed and methodology is appropriate for the clear and thorough description of the The methodology chapter provides literature on the subject matter. understanding of the most relevant research. It shows the author's sources and provides context for the complete, it synthesizes a variety of presents and overview of the thesis places the problem in context. It interesting, and compelling. It limitations of the research. discusses strengths, weaknesses, and implications of the research, It addresses the applications and identified research questions. for research. The research why and what methods were chosen research methodology. It discusses Very deficient Scale Acceptable Outstanding Very good Very deficient Somewhat deficient Acceptable Very good Outstanding Very deficient Somewhat deficient Acceptable Very good Outstanding Somewhat deficient Very good Very deficient Somewhat deficient Acceptable Outstanding relevance (e.g. L1 development, use of Comments only restates observed facts and doesn't indicate how he collected the issues are in play in developing games to support students' speaking. about the value (effectiveness) of the and directly his first research question in the appendix. Also, the author's description of the various activities, some of the information, especially the is short of depth and clear focus. L1). The theory section has a breath but thesis yet not of any immediate that are connected to the topic of the perspective/theory itself from a language teaching doesn't discuss or interpret the activity section called 'vyhodnoceni aktivity,' he the data. Although he does include a I miss the author's commentaries on info during his observations. speaking skills. Furthermore, the author the value of the activity. Too many One time experience is no indication of for the author to address objectively been worded better, e.g. it isn't possible research questions (p. 17) could have included here could have been provided process in a lot of detail; however, The author describes his research The author covers a number of issues

| 7. The trequilengt Refer the to  | 6. The t<br>manr<br>to fol<br>cond<br>autho<br>writin<br>spelli   | 5. The t<br>think<br>the a   |
|--|---|--|
| The thesis meets the general requirements (formatting, chapters, length, division into sections, etc.). References are cited properly within the text and a complete reference list is provided. | The text is organized in a logical manner. It flows naturally and is easy to follow. Transitions, summaries and conclusions exist as appropriate. The author demonstrates high quality writing skills and uses standard spelling, grammar, and punctuation. | The thesis shows critical and analytical thinking about the area of study and the author's expertise in this area. |
| Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient   | Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient  | Outstanding Very good Acceptable Somewhat deficient Very deficient   |
| References do not follow APA consistently.   |   |  |

## Final Comments & Questions

Mr. Samšula shows a lot of enthusiasm about the topic of her thesis. There is no doubt that Mr. Samšula has a lot of knowledge about speaking activities/games and how they could be used in English language classes. of speaking and speaking activities. However, the thesis comes across more like a compilation of different ideas and thoughts related to the topic

complete the thesis and meet the thesis requirements. I suggest that Mr. Samšula is awarded the grade The thesis bears lots of limitations as pointed out above. On the other hand, the author has made effort to "good" for his thesis project.

Supervisor: Mgr/, Gabriela Klečková, Ph.D.

Date: September 1, 2020